ENTERED

December 20, 2017 David J. Bradley, Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

§	
§	
§	
§	
§	CIVIL ACTION H-17-1781
§	
§	
§	
§	
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

MEMORANDUM ON DISMISSAL

The plaintiff has not responded to this Court's order entered October 17, 2017, which required him to submit a more definite statement of the facts on which his complaint is based by November 20, 2017. (Docket Entry No. 8). The Court's order specifically provided that "[f]ailure to comply as directed may result in the dismissal of this action." With regard to this Court's Order for More Definite Statement, the Court must have clear answers to each of its questions before it can evaluate the merits of the plaintiff's claims. The plaintiff's failure to comply with this Court's order forces this Court to conclude that he lacks due diligence.

Under the inherent powers necessarily vested in a court to manage its own affairs, this Court determines that dismissal for want of prosecution is appropriate. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); *Link v. Wabash R.R.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962); *Woodson v. Surgitek, Inc.*, 57 F.3d 1406, 1417 (5th Cir. 1995); 8 J. MOORE ET AL., MOORE'S FEDERAL PRACTICE § 41.51(3)(b) & (e) (3d ed. 2010). Upon a proper

showing, relief from this order may be granted in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 60(b). *See Link*, 370 U.S. at 635.

This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for want of prosecution.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, on ____DEC_1_9_2017

ALFRED H. BENNET

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE